

Math 33A — Week 5

Written by Victoria Kala

April 30, 2019

Name: KEY

1. Let $T(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$.

(a) Find $\text{im}(T)$ and $\ker(T)$.

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ already in ref. x_2 free, $x_2 = t$ x_4 free, $x_4 = s$ $x_1 - 3x_2 - 5x_4 = 0 \rightarrow x_1 = 3x_2 + 5x_4$ $x_3 + 2x_4 = 0 \rightarrow x_3 = -2x_4$

$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3t + 5s \\ t \\ -2s \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} s$ $\ker T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

1st and 3rd columns
Span $\text{im} T$

$\text{im} T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

(b) Verify the rank-nullity theorem for this transformation.

rank = 2 nullity = 2 rank + nullity = 4 = # of columns of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ✓

(c) Are there any vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mathbf{y} \notin \text{im}(T)$? *Remark: This illustrates that $\text{im} T = \mathbb{R}^2$

One way: for $\mathbf{y} \in \text{im} T$ means $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$ for some \mathbf{x}
 $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ Q: is it possible for this system to have no solution?
 A: No, this system always has a solution no matter the value of \mathbf{y}

No, no \mathbf{y} such that $\mathbf{y} \notin \text{im} T$

Another way: in (a) we find $\text{im} T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$
 For $\mathbf{y} \in \text{im} T$ means there are a, b s.t. $a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{y}$
 $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ Q: is it possible for this system to have no solution?
 A: no, this system always has a solution no matter the value of \mathbf{y} .

No, no \mathbf{y} such that $\mathbf{y} \notin \text{im} T$

2. Recall that $T(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$ is the transformation that projects $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ onto the y -axis.

(a) Without solving explicitly, what do you expect $\text{im}(T)$ and $\ker(T)$ to be? (You don't need to use set notation here, you can write a short description about what you think they will be.)

$\text{im} T = \text{all output of } T(\mathbf{x}) = y\text{-axis}$
 $\ker T = \text{all inputs } \mathbf{x} \text{ s.t. } T(\mathbf{x}) = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \ker T = x\text{-axis}$

(b) Solve $\text{im}(T)$ and $\ker(T)$ explicitly. Do these match your intuition in (a)?

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ x_1 free, $x_1 = t$ $x_2 = 0$ $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} t$

free 2nd column of original matrix spans $\text{im} T$

$\text{im} T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ this is the y -axis

$\ker T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ this is the x -axis!

- (c) Verify the rank-nullity theorem for this transformation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} &= 1 & \text{rank} + \text{nullity} &= 2 = \# \text{ of columns of } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{nullity} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Find a vector \mathbf{y} such that $\mathbf{y} \notin \text{im}(T)$. * answers will vary

$\vec{y} \in \text{im} T$ means $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y}$ for some \vec{x}

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & | & y_1 \\ 0 & 1 & | & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{If } y_1 \text{ is a nonzero \# then this system has no solution!}$$

e.g. $\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ since the vector $\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \text{im} T$ since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has no solution

- (e) Is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ invertible? no, $\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 0$

3. Recall that $T(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{x}$ is the transformation that rotates \mathbf{x} by $\frac{\pi}{4}$ radians and stretches the rotated vector by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$.

- (a) Without solving explicitly, what do you expect $\text{im}(T)$ and $\text{ker}(T)$ to be? (You don't need to use set notation here, you can write a short description about what you think they will be.)

$\text{im} T = \text{all outputs} = \mathbb{R}^2$ since if we rotate \mathbb{R}^2 by $\pi/4$ and scale by $\sqrt{2}$ we still get \mathbb{R}^2

$\text{ker} T = \text{all inputs } \vec{x} \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \text{ker} T = \{\vec{0}\}$

- (b) Solve $\text{im}(T)$ and $\text{ker}(T)$ explicitly. Do these match your intuition in (a)?

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-R_1 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{this system has the unique solution } \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

1st and 2nd columns of original matrix span $\text{im} T$

$$\text{im} T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \leftarrow \text{this is } \mathbb{R}^2$$

- (c) Verify the rank-nullity theorem for this transformation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} &= 2 & \text{rank} + \text{nullity} &= 2 = \# \text{ of columns of } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{nullity} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Are there any vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mathbf{y} \notin \text{im}(T)$? * Remark: This illustrates that $\text{im} T = \mathbb{R}^2$

One way $\vec{y} \in \text{im} T$ means $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y}$ for some \vec{x}

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & y_1 \\ 1 & 1 & | & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{row operations}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & \frac{y_1+y_2}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & | & \frac{y_2-y_1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

this system always has a solution \Rightarrow No \vec{y} s.t. $\vec{y} \notin \text{im} T$

Another way in (b), $\text{im} T = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

$\vec{y} \in \text{im} T$ means there are a, b s.t. $a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{y}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & y_1 \\ 1 & 1 & | & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{this system always has a solution after doing row operations}$$

\Rightarrow No \vec{y} s.t. $\vec{y} \notin \text{im} T$

- (e) Is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ invertible? yes, $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \cdot 1 - (-1) \cdot 1 = 1 + 1 = 2 \neq 0$

4. The invertibility of a square matrix is related to its rank, nullity, kernel, and image. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in Exercise 2 is not invertible, but $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in Exercise 3 is invertible. Fill in the following statements below (you can use Exercises 2 and 3 for reference):

- (a) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\text{rank}(A) = \underline{n}$, then $\text{im}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (b) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\text{rank}(A) = n$, then $\text{nullity}(A) = \underline{0}$.
- (c) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\text{nullity}(A) = \underline{0}$, then $\ker(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (d) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\ker(A) = \underline{\{\mathbf{0}\}}$, then A is invertible.
- (e) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\text{rank}(A) \neq \underline{n}$, A is not invertible.
- (f) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\text{nullity}(A) \neq \underline{0}$, A is not invertible.
- (g) If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\ker(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$, A is not invertible.